



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov  
DW May-07

Paper No. 18

MARK FRIEDMAN  
C/O BILL POLKINGHORN DISCOVERY DISPATCH  
9003 FLORIN WAY  
UPPER MARLBORO MD 20772

**COPY MAILED**

**MAY 07 2007**

In re Application of : **OFFICE OF PETITIONS**  
Dolina et al. :  
Application No. 09/674492 : **DECISION ON PETITION**  
Filed: 01/10/2001 :  
Atty Docket No. 1067/2 :

This is a decision on the renewed petition filed on 20 February, 2007, under 37 CFR 1.137(b),<sup>1</sup> to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The application became abandoned on 24 February, 2002, for failure to timely submit a timely reply to the non-final Office action mailed on 23 November, 2001, which set a three (3) month shortened statutory period for reply. No extensions of the time for reply in accordance with 37 CFR 1.136(a) were obtained.

<sup>1</sup> Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) now provide that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). A grantable petition filed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

(1) the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In a nonprovisional utility or plant application filed on or after June 8, 1995, and abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may also be met by the filing of a request for continuing examination in compliance with § 1.114. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof. In an application, abandoned for failure to pay the publication fee, the required reply must include payment of the publication fee.

(2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

(3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and

(4) any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(c)).

Notice of Abandonment was mailed on 15 July, 2002. The petition filed on 31 July, 2006, was dismissed on 22 December, 2006.

Petitioners state, *inter alia*, that "[t]he entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition under to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional."

The record does not necessitate a finding that the delay between 24 February, 2002, and 20 February, 2007, was not unintentional.

Rather, the Patent and Trademark Office is relying on petitioners' duty of candor and good faith and accepting the statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply and until the filing of the petition was unintentional.<sup>2</sup>

The application is being forwarded to Technology Center 1600 for further processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3231.



Douglas I. Wood  
Senior Petitions Attorney  
Office of Petitions

---

<sup>2</sup>See Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure, 62 Fed. Reg. at 53160 and 53178, 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office at 88 and 103 (responses to comments 64 and 109) (applicant obligated under 37 CFR 10.18 to inquire into the underlying facts and circumstances when providing the statement required by 37 CFR 1.137(b) to the Patent and Trademark Office).